PolySense: Augmenting Textiles with Electrical Functionality using In-Situ Polymerization
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ABSTRACT

We present an ink jet method for enabling arbitrary textiles to sense pressure and deformation: In-situ polymerization supports the integration of piezoresistive properties at the material level, preserving a textile’s haptic and mechanical characteristics. We demonstrate how to enhance a wide set of fabrics and yarns using only readily available tools. To further support customization by the designer, we present methods for patternning, as needed to create circuits and sensors, and demonstrate how to combine areas of different conductance in one material. Technical evaluation results demonstrate the performance of sensors created using our method is comparable to off-the-shelf piezoresistive textiles. As application examples, we demonstrate hard manufacturing of on-body interfaces, tie-dyed motion-capture clothing, and zippers that act as potentiometers.

INTRODUCTION

Piezoresistive materials have become an important part of interactive eTextile systems, as they can enable fabric to sense human input, be it pressure, gestures, or motion. They are used in eTextiles for detecting body movements [10], posture [38] or gestures [29]. They are commonly used for soft pressure sensors [40] or soft pressure sensor matrices [9, 31, 37], medical monitoring [20], performing arts [39] and interactive art installations [41]. Piezoresistive sensing is largely robust to the electrical noise introduced by the body [40], and piezoresistive materials are often malleable and flexible. This makes piezoresistive sensing the preferred method for wearable eTextiles, which are, by their nature, soft and conform to the shape of the body.

In this paper, we present a method of creating such piezoresistive materials, through polymerization of pyrrole (Figure 1a, left). The method presented allows conductive polymers to form in and around textiles, coating their individual fibers. The process is in-situ: polymer chains are formed in, on, and around the fabric [33], at the location where piezo-resistivity is needed.

This supports new ways of thinking about piezo-resistivity in textiles: Currently, if a sensor is to be integrated in an existing piece, the piezoresistive material must be added to the material or garment, and physically connected to conductive traces, or layered in between conductive fabric, altering the mechanical properties and haptic feel of the material or garment. Due to the in-situ nature of the polymerisation process, designers need not add external components, but can instead add piezoresistive properties to the material which they are already working with (see Figure 1b and 1d). Additionally,
We also present methods compatible with in-situ polymerization, enabling designers to augment a fabric with patterns for general electrical functionality, that is, enable the fabric to seamlessly integrate electrical traces, resistors, sensors, heating elements, etc. However, we go beyond what is usually considered a functional material, and demonstrate how to vary the functionality within a single piece of fabric. By selectively adjusting the conductivity and piezoresistivity of a fabric, we can seamlessly integrate basic electronic devices, such as a voltage divider, into the fabric.

The methods we present are designed to be accessible: except for the required materials, all tools necessary can be found in most kitchens. We frame polymerization as a dyeing process, and demonstrate how traditional craft methods can be used to shape the electrical properties of the outcome (see Figure 1c). With this re-framing, we intend to support skilled artisans to draw on their existing expertise, and help complete novices in easily acquiring the necessary skills.

In this paper, we first present the method used for polymerizing and results of polymerizing fabrics and yarns. We then present supporting methods for fine-tuning and optimizing polymerization results before concluding with applications.

RELATED WORK

**eTextiles and Piezoresistive Materials**

Over the last 20 years eTextiles have developed from a fringe topic in HCI [32] to a topic of general interest. There is a growing economic relevance, highlighted by the attention eTextiles are receiving in the fashion and textile industries. HCI research is also providing increasing attention to the topic, as visible in the increasing number of relevant publications [12]. Finally there is a vibrant and strong DIY culture around eTextiles, visible in the ease of finding high-quality instruction materials online.

Piezoresistive materials have become an integral part of eTextile projects, as they provide an easy and robust way of sensing deformation in soft materials. However, while commonly used, the underlying mechanisms of piezoresistivity are complex and the term is used for describing a group of related but distinct phenomena, including changes in resistivity within a material [42], as well as changes in resistivity due to changes in the quality of surface-contact [34]. Generally, one can think of piezo-resistivity as changes in the inter-molecular spacing of a material due to compression or strain, which in turn change the materials conductance (see also Figure 2). The resulting change in resistance is typically non-linear and with relatively high hysteresis [25, 26]. The complex nature of fabric weaves makes piezoresistive sensing in textiles especially challenging, for example, the sensor-output might even be non-monotonous [27].

Typically, piezoresistive materials are pre-fabricated as a sheet, and sandwiched between conductive electrodes for creating textile sensors [52, 31, 37, 40, 38]. Other form factors include piezoresistive elastic bands [42, 41]. Conductive thread with piezoresistive coating [30] has also been demonstrated as a compact and versatile touch sensor for eTextiles. A recurring issue with these materials, however, is that they are often difficult to reproduce [30], or constrained by the availability of commercial materials such as those provided by Eeonyx [38, 9, 40]. Antistatic foil is sometimes used as alternative [15], but it is far inferior both mechanically and as a sensor. The contribution over previous work is that we provide textile designers with the ability to create high-quality piezoresistive materials themselves.

**Augmenting Objects with Interactive Properties**

The conventional approach for augmenting objects with sensors is to miniaturize sensing electronics and adding them to the object. Examples include inertial measurement units [36], miniaturized optical tracking systems [18], or even tape augmented with arrays of sensors [8].

An alternative approach is to coat the object to make it electrically functional. Simple sensors might be attached to the outside of objects [17, 16], or they might be printed on, using functional inks [35, 23]. These surfaces need not be planar. Objectskin demonstrates how to use hydroprinting to add touch sensors and displays [14] to arbitrary geometries. Other work has explored using Electro-Impedance-Tomography [50] on spray-painted conductive surfaces, or created thin film sensing skins using mutual capacitance [51, 44]. While these approaches add sensing skins on top of objects, sensing might also be added to an object’s internal structure [2].

We contribute a third way of creating interactive objects. Instead of adding components, or coating objects, with in-situ polymerization, one can change the electrical properties within the object itself.

**Conductive Polymers and Polymerization**

Polymerization describes the process by which monomers are combined to form a polymer chain. Here, in-situ describes that these polymer chains are created at the specific location where they are required; in, on, and around the fabric [33].

In-situ polymerization has a series of advantages over coatings: (1) the textile’s mechanical properties are barely affected. As polymerization happens around and within each thread, the
material retains the breath-ability and flexibility it had prior to polymerization. (2) as the surface area of each fibre in the material is polymerized, rather than the surface of the material, the functionalized surface area is significantly increased [19]. (3) The mechanical bond between the fabric and the polymer is stronger, as they become integrated with each other on a fiber level.

We expand upon previous work which uses in-situ polymerization, by presenting supporting methods for selectively functionalizing fabric. To make these methods as accessible as possible, we show that traditional dying methods can be used for creating electrical components

Conductive polymers are increasingly used by the HCI community to create interactive objects. For example, Wessely deposits conductive polymers on a PDMS substrate, to sense fabric deformations [47]. PEDOT:PSS is often used as a conductor, as it can be printed with a traditional printer [24] or screen-printing [46], and can be used for creating μm thin interfaces [48]. While PEDOT:PSS has found some use within the HCI community, other conductive materials such as polypyrrole have received relatively little attention.

This is surprising, as polypyrrole is comparatively easy to polymerize. Polymerization of pyrrole has been used for creating bio-compatible batteries [4, 33], or electrothermal materials [49]. In fact, polymerization of pyrrole is not uncommon in the material-science communities [7].

Previous work has polymerized textiles in laboratory settings. We demonstrate that the process is simple and provide instructions which can easily be replicated by novices.

THE IN-SITU POLYMERIZATION PROCESS

Polymerization happens when a monomer is exposed to an oxidizing agent. Through oxidation, bonds to hydrogen atoms are weakened, allowing the monomer molecules to bond to each other, forming polymer chains. If other materials are present during this process – such as fabric or chemical impurities – the polymer will form in and around these materials.

Organic Polymers such as polyaniline (PANI), polypyrrole (PPy) and poly(2,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) have a backbone of conjugated carbon-chains. This means that they are intrinsically conductive, as electrons can move along these chains. If the polymer is doped, by, for example, adding impurities in the form of Chloride, this can add extrinsic conductivity.

We chose to explore polypyrrole as it is the easiest to synthesize in a DIY setting. Iron(III) chloride (Hexahydrate) was chosen as oxidizing agent for the polymerization of pyrrole to polypyrrole. The iron(III) chloride has the additional effect of adding traces of chloride to the polymer, which increases its conductivity.

Chemical Supplies

Pyrrole solution and iron chloride were sourced from a chemical supplies dealer\(^4\). At the time of writing 25 g of Pyrrole (approximately 75 ml) cost about 15 € and 100 g of iron chloride approximately 9 €. This amount of Pyrrole can be used for polymerizing > 3 m\(^2\) cotton fabric. In comparison, 0.10 m\(^2\) of piezoresistive fabric by Eeonyx was sold for 25 $\(^5\). The DIY method costs 3 % of the commercial fabric, by area.

Tools

The only tools needed are bowls and a mixer for mixing the fabric and other materials, a syringe to measure the pyrrole and a scale for measuring the iron chloride. For more even results and larger batches, the mixer can be replaced with a small washing machine\(^6\). As the iron chloride water-based solution is slightly acidic, we recommend the use of glass or plastic tools.

Process

Choose amount of water – Initially one must select a good ratio of water to fabric. This is difficult to quantify, as the behavior of fabric in water can vary strongly dependent on the specific material. The goal is for the fabric to comfortably swim in the water, without lumping together, so that monomer and oxidizing agent can reach it everywhere. As a rule of thumb, by weight the ratio of water to fabric should be about 5:1, and by volume the amount of fabric should not exceed a water to fabric ratio of 4:3.

Dilute the monomer – Add the pyrrole, creating a water to pyrrole solution with a volume ratio of ~1000:25. In other words, for each liter of water, add 25 ml of pyrrole. Once the pyrrole is added, stir the solution until they are well mixed.

Add fabric – Once the fabric is added, stir gently for 10 to 15 minutes or until satisfied that the fabric has thoroughly soaked up the pyrrole mixture.

Add oxidizing agent – Add iron chloride. The mass ratio of water to iron chloride should be 100:1 (i.e., 10 mg for every liter of water). The iron chloride might have lumped together, forming what appears as small rocks. These need to be mechanically broken down into a fine powder. Once this is done, the powder can either be directly added to the monomer mixture, or the powder can first be diluted with a small amount of water, to improve dispersion.

Wait for polymerization to complete – The mixture should be continuously stirred, so that all monomer is brought into contact with fresh iron chloride, allowing it to polymerize. Once polymerization progresses, it will become visible, as the fabric starts turning black. After about 30 minutes, when all fabric is evenly black, polymerization is complete.

Remove, Rinse and Dry – Once polymerization is complete, remove the fabric and wash it thoroughly in cold water. It can then be hung up to dry, or ironed, to speed up the drying.

Factors Impacting Conductance

While the specific results are strongly dependent on the textile chosen, there are two main mechanisms by which the conductance of the resulting functionalized textile can be manipulated, independently of the textile material.

\(^4\)Glentham Life Sciences Ltd

\(^5\)https://www.adafruit.com/product/3669

\(^6\)e.g.: the DB003 by OnceConcept (~135 €)
The first is by changing the degree to which the fabric is polymerized. If the material is only partially polymerized, the resistance increases. Partial polymerization can be achieved by shortening the duration the fabric is submerged in the monomer bath, after the oxidation agent is added. For increased precision in stopping time, one can reduce the amount of pyrrole and iron chloride, which slows down the polymerization process.

The second is by regulating the conductivity of the polypyrrole. This is achieved by adjusting the ratio of iron chloride to pyrrole. Increasing the amount of iron chloride relative to pyrrole increases the conductivity. However, this only is effective within bounds. Above a certain level, the effect of adding more iron chloride diminishes, while adding too little iron chloride might inhibit the polymerization process (see also the formal evaluation by Baptista et al. [4]).

The conditions of polymerization can also be optimized. Distilled water can be used to make the polymerization more consistent using controlled reaction conditions, and there is evidence from the literature that polymerization at 50°C also has a positive effect on conductivity. To improve bonding between fabric and polymer, fabric can be pre-washed with alcohol. This is especially relevant for pre-worn fabric, as any residues of grease would inhibit polymerization.

As the results of the polymerization vary greatly based on the fabric which is polymerized, finding the optimal conditions will require some trial and error. However, once these conditions are found, the process can be repeated with consistent results (anecdotally, for two cotton textiles produced using the same methods, but from different batches, the second sample had ~95% resistance of the first).

**PIEZORESISTIVE YARNS**
Polymerized yarns can act as stretch or pressure sensors. Functionalizing an elastic thread produced a well-performing stretch sensor (Figure 3a and 3b). Functionalizing cotton yarn with conductive copper core (Figure 3d), allows crossing yarns to act as a pressure sensors (Figure 3e). We expand on the work by Parzer et al. [30] by providing an accessible implementation.

We found that the elastic yarn changes its resistance linearly with the amount it was stretched by (Figure 3c), while the resistance between two yarns with conductive core displayed a logarithmic relation to the amount of weight applied to them (Figure 3f).

**PIEZORESISTIVE TEXTILES**
A set of eight 5x5 cm samples was created and compared to the non-woven fabric provided by Eeonyx. The samples were functionalized following the above instructions, but polymerized for 60 minutes. After washing and drying the samples, the transverse resistance was measured using two rigid copper electrodes of 2 cm diameter. For measuring the resting resistance, 10 g were placed on top of the top electrode, to ensure good electrode contact. As piezoresistive materials often have non-linear settling behavior, we waited for 15 seconds after the electrodes were placed before measuring the resistance. This process was repeated with 500 g applied, to see how the fabric is affected by pressure. The samples were stored in transparent plastic folders (non-sealed) in normal office lighting conditions. Measures were repeated after 4 months. Results of both measures are shown in Table 1.

As some samples have a very high resistance and can therefore produce a much wider resistance range, we normalize this change in resistance by dividing by the resting resistance - this produces an estimate of the sensing fidelity at the range chosen independently of the overall resistance (this is equivalent to

---

**Table 1. Measures of the transverse resistance of polymerized samples.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>R (10g)</th>
<th>R (500g)</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>RA/R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teddy Material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% Cotton, 20% Polyester</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweatshirt Fabric *</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96% Cotton, 4% Elastan</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>5230</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper **</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% Recycling Paper</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton (non-woven)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% Cotton</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lace</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% Viscose, 5% Elastan</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knitted Viscose</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generic Panty Hose</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43% Cotton, 6% Elastan</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eeonyx (non-woven)</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94% Cotton, 6% Elastan</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **We used diaper fleece as it is made to withstand washing.**

* These materials did not completely polymerize – see Uniformity of Polymerization in the discussion section.

* These materials did not completely polymerize – see Uniformity of Polymerization in the discussion section.

---

**Figure 3.** Stretch-sensitive yarn (left) and pressure sensitive yarn (right): Elastic piezoresistive yarns can function as stretch sensors: a) relaxed position and b) stretched position. c) elastic sensor characterization plotting resistance VS percentage stretched reveals linear behavior. d) Close-up view of a polymerized yarn with a copper conductive core that e) can sense pressure. f) pressure sensor characterization plotting resistance VS weight reveals logarithmic behavior.
the gauge factor, with a fixed force ratio, see also [25, 26]). The closer this ratio is to 1, the better the sensor captures the range in question. This ratio should not be over-interpreted, as it does not capture hysteresis behavior or linearity of the output. So, while Table 1 appears to indicate that most samples perform better than the reference Eeonyx material, we merely wish to point out that the samples behave similarly.

To provide a better understanding of how the materials compare, we also present measures with how they react to human pressure input. We performed three presses on each fabric and measured the resistance with a digital multimeter, and the pressure with a digital newton-metre. Again, the range of resistances of polymerized samples tended to be slightly better than the commercial sample, but the polymerize samples also tended towards higher hysteresis. In Figure 4 we plot the resistance as a function of pressure for representative samples, to show the hysteresis.

**PIEZORESISTIVE PATTERNS**

While large piezoresistive sheets have their use-cases – for example when creating pressure sensor matrices – typical HCI applications often require fine patterns [22]. For textiles, Piezoresistive areas should usually be constrained to the area one intends to use as a sensor. Usually this is done by cutting a smaller piece of piezoresistive material and either adhering it with fabric heat-bonding adhesive [11] or sewing it to the textile one wishes to augment. In contrast, we enable **seamless integration** we can control the in-situ process so polymerization only occurs where it is needed.

For ensuring accessibility we base our methods on traditional resist-based dyeing methods. Resist-based dyeing is widely used for applying colours or patterns to fabric. A substance that is impervious to the dye blocks its application to certain areas of the fabric, while other parts are exposed the dye or color. In our case, we use a material which is impervious to the oxidizing agent, and rather than using a dye, we polymerize the exposed areas. As resist-based dyeing has a rich tradition [5], learning materials are readily available. Most available information transfers directly to working with polymerization.

**Glue**

We found glue to be useful as a readily available resist, for quick prototyping and exploration of ideas. Hot-glue guns, for example, can make thin and accurate glue traces using paper tape as guide. The benefit of using a glue-gun is its wide availability, and that it allows for very fast and reliable prototypes. However, it can be hard to remove - the best way we found was to boil or microwave the material.

**Batik**

Wax can be used as a resist. A dripping tool can be formed from aluminum foil, or one can use a tjanting (a Javanese tool for applying hot wax – see Figure 1c). Patterns are drawn by hand. The wax is not affected by the polymerization process and prevents the covered material from being polymerized. It is a very tactile experience, and – similar to pen and paper drawing – allows for exploration and improvisation. Once the dyeing is complete, the wax is typically removed with boiling water or ironed out using paper towels.

The structure of the fabric, the ambient temperature, and the temperature of the wax interact strongly with how the wax flows and the resulting patterns one is able to make. For example, if either the warp or weft of a fabric are dominant, the wax tends to flow in that direction and depending on the temperature, it will penetrate further into the fabric or stay on its surface.

Figure 5a shows a sample created using batik. Here the resist was used to create two areas on the left and right of the fabric, which only connect through thin channels. Comparing measures $m_1$ and $m_3$ to $m_3$ and $m_4$ shows that the small paths successfully create areas of resistance higher by a factor of 10. A problem encountered using batik for polymerization is that the smallest cracks allow polymerized areas to creep into areas where one does not want them. We were able to reduce this by adding olive-oil to the wax, which makes the wax softer, and more likely to bend instead of cracking.
Figure 5. Measures of resistance values over several patterned fabrics: (a) Calico (batik), (b) Cotton (tie-dyed), (c) Wool (woven), (d) Bamboo/Silver (polymerized using batik on conductive fabric), (e) Nylon/Copper (etched using batik).

Tie-Dye
The method consists of folding, twisting or applying pressure on the fabric with strings or rubber bands. The selective application of pressure determines if the fabric can absorb the dye, or in our case whether it is polymerized or not.

Tie dying introduces an additional layer of control. Working with folds allows the creation of repeating patterns and embedding objects provides the ability to add specific predefined shapes. By applying a gradient of pressure to the tied object, one can also introduce gradients of resistance into the polymerized areas. For example, the sample shown in Figure 5b was created by wrapping fabric around a plastic tube. The white areas were tied down with thread, so they are not polymerized. The black areas were exposed, however, as it was wrapped, the top end is covered by two layers of textiles and the middle is covered by one layer. Consequently the resistance towards the top is highest, while it increases towards the bottom, as can be seen comparing measures $m_2$, $m_3$, and $m_4$. Measure $m_1$ shows that the tied-down areas successfully act as insulators, there is barely any conductivity between the stripes.

Compared to traditional batik, tie-dyeing is better for larger areas, as creating large areas of resist is less laborious. However, tie-dying has its own issue with scale, as one needs to ensure that the pyrrole and the oxidizing agent can reach all required areas. This can be a problem if the fabric is too thick or if too many layers of fabric are stacked.

Making Patterns from Yarn
Resist-based selective polymerizing need not be applied to finished textiles. Instead it can also be used for creating a yarn which is piezoresistive in selective areas. Such selectively polymerized threads can later be woven or knitted to create custom shapes and patterns.

As has been demonstrated in previous work, resistive yarn can be added to traditional weaves. However, these yarns need not be restricted to discrete rows and columns. Using patterned yarn, resistive or non resistive areas can be created at will. The woven sample (Figure 5c) shows that the conductance between pre-polymerized yarns that touch is strong enough to form continuous piezoresistive areas. This process, again, is borrowed from traditional textile dyeing technique and is commonly referred to as ikat.

Combined with the advance of custom thread-spinning technologies\(^7\) which allow dynamic adjusting of thickness and material composition of a yarn, ikat has the potential for enabling the creation of fully customizable functional fabrics.

Using Rapid Fabrication Tools
While traditional hand-craft can provide strong results, we were also interested in creating methods which allowed for a higher level of algorithmic control. Here our best results were based around laser-cut stencils.

We explored two strategies. The first is to create a laser-cut negative and pressure fitting the laser-cut pattern to the front\(^7\) e.g., Studio Hilo: https://www.studiohilo.com

Figure 6. A laser cut stencil (a-left) pressed against a fabric (a-right) can be used to perform selective polymerization (b).
and back of the fabric, fastening it with screws or clamps (Figure 6a). We then polymerize the entire rigid object (Figure 6b). For larger textiles this might create a rigid object which cannot practically be fit into a mixing container. In those cases we instead create a positive image of the desired shape, and again pressure fit it to the front and back of a fabric. We then fill the exposed negative shape with wax. The wax then acts as a resist during polymerization (as shown in Video Figure). After polymerization, the wax can be ironed out.

Alternatively one can also 3D print a single layer shape directly on to the textile. If the textile is strong enough, the printed layer can be pulled off after polymerization. Boiling the fabric weakens the bond between the 3D printed layer.

**INTEGRATING HIGHLY CONDUCTIVE FABRIC**

While custom piezoresistive patterns already provide interesting opportunities, *seamless integration* is further supported by embedding conductors into the fabric. To achieve this level of integration, we use commercially available conductive fabric. In this section we discuss how conductive materials can be used in combination with polymerization.

**Polymerizing Conductive Fabrics**

Conductive fabric typically consists of a synthetic fibers which are coated in a thin conductive layer. When polymerizing conductive fabric, the oxidizing agent oxidizes the conductive layer, removing the conductivity of the fabric. After the polymerization process, the fabrics electrical properties are no longer distinguishable from a polymerized non-conductive sample.

Coating part of a sample with a resist before polymerization allows the combination of highly conductive and piezoresistive areas. For most fabric we tested (successful samples included: SafetySilk, Soft&Safe, Silverell sold by lessEMF as well as Technitex p130 and Shieldex Balingen sold by Statex) the polymerized areas connected to the conductive areas. This allows integrating an area that acts as a sensor within high-conductive material, which can act as electrical connection to a microcontroller or other parts of the circuitry.

This is demonstrated in Figure 5d. The top shows an unmodified sample, the bottom shows a sample where a resist was applied using batik. The diagonal measures \((m1 \text{ and } m4)\) and the horizontal measures \((m2 \text{ and } m3)\) show how the behavior of the fabric is changed after polymerization. Measure \(m6\) shows a measure that spans a piezoresistive and a conductive zone. Measures \(m5\) and \(m7\) show measures going up to the border of the two areas.

We found that wax-based resists worked best for this type of process. Tie-dyed samples often had areas of poor conductivity between conductive and piezoresistive areas.

**Etching Conductive Fabrics**

For successfully making a circuit, one must not only provide paths through which signals can propagate with minimal resistance – one also needs insulators. When working with conductive textiles, we must create such non-conductive areas.

A simple etching process can be used for creating non-conductive areas in conductive fabric. After applying a wax resist, the fabric is placed in a mixture of vinegar (24 %) and Hydrogen Peroxide (30 %) mixed in a ratio of 2:1. After about 5 minutes one can see first visible changes in the fabric and after about 15 minutes the fabric usually is fully etched, however the duration is dependent on the amount of metal which needs to be removed: As more metal is dissolved, the potency of the mixture is reduced, and etching gradually slows down. Once removed, the fabric needs to be thoroughly washed, as otherwise the edges of the conductive areas are further oxidized forming a barrier of low conductivity on their edge.

In Figure 5e we present such an etched sample. Again, the resist was applied using batik. We see that the copper preserved its high conductance \(m1\) and that the bottom left pad \(m2\) is not electrically connected to the other conductive areas. However, we see high conductivity between the top left pad \(m3\) and other areas. This suggests that the sample was removed from the etching bath prematurely.

**Combining Etching and Polymerizing**

Once the desired pattern of conductive and insulating areas is created, piezoresistive sensors can be integrated in the circuit using polymerization. Again, a wax resist needs to be applied to all areas which should not be polymerized. The surface to be polymerized can be either etched, or left conductive.

A sample created using Shieldex Balingen can be seen in Figure 7a. The brown areas are conductive, the black areas piezoresistive and the white areas act as insulator. The textile contains two stretch sensors (elongated black areas in center) and two pressure sensors (round black areas towards top left and bottom right). The top right conductor might be connected to a GND, and the bottom left conductors to analog inputs.
with activated pull up resistors. Alternatively – if for example, only using the two central stretch sensors – the top right corner might be connected to an analog input, and the other side of each stretch sensor connected to GND and V+ respectively. They would then act as a voltage divider. Figure 7 provides more information as to the samples electrical characteristics.

The pressure sensor is designed to be used when the fabric is folded as seen in Figure 7b. By folding the fabric twice, conductor \( p1 \) connects at the top of the piezoresistive element \( p3 \) and the conductor \( p2 \) connects from below. Measuring from point \( p1 \) to point \( p2 \) while pressing the fabric provides a range of resistance from 50 k\( \Omega \) to 6 M\( \Omega \). This layering approach was implemented for measuring changes in pressure perpendicularly to the material, as for example done by zPatch [40]. However, we found that it was not always necessary and that often pressure can be measured in plane with the fabric: If two conductors are separated by an area of piezoresistive fabric, then compressing this fabric decreases the resistance between the conductors.

APPLICATION EXAMPLES

We present a series of objects which take advantage of the in-situ nature of the polymerization process. Instead of mechanically adding sensor layers to these objects, we functionalyzed them, starting from existing objects and adding sensing abilities without introducing mechanical changes or impeding their original purpose.

**Tie-Dyed Motion Capture**

Piezoresistive materials are often used for capturing human motion. For example, Parzer et al. presented a sleeve, consisting of piezoresistive fabric sandwiched between conductive fabric, that can measure elbow movements [31] and Strohmeier et al. integrated piezoresistive polymer bands into a wrist-warmer to measure movement of the wrist [42]. PolySense allows the creation of similar garments, but with significantly reduced mechanical complexity. We demonstrate this by augmenting a pair of (1) cotton librarian gloves (women’s medium, roughly 185 mm by 195 mm textile, < 50 g) and a pair of self made (2) cotton leggings (roughly 660 mm by 800 mm, 150 g) with tie-dyed polymerized patterns to make them sensitive to motion. The fabric was polymerized for 40 minutes, according to the instructions provided in this paper.

(1) the glove was used to control a kinematic model of a hand (Figures 8a and 8b). To make it, the cotton glove was polymerized with tight strings at various phalanx levels to isolate them. The resistance of pressure sensitive areas on the knuckles were mapped to joints of the model, using forward kinematics. The software and firmware of this example are available on GitHub. 

(2) the leggings were used to measured knee flex movements (Figures 1d). These were finished to a fully integrated device. The resistance at the knees was measured using a conductive undergarment. The values were sent to a computer using the WiFi capabilities of the x-OSC board. Technical details of this project are documented on GitHub. The motion-capturing leggings were used to control the slide transitions at a presentation attended by ~200 people, during the summer edition of CCC in 2019.

**Augmenting Mechanical Objects**

When everyday objects are augmented with electrical functions, for example, as demonstrated by Gröger et al. [14] their physical characteristics provide unique opportunities. For example, Weigel et al. [46] and Bergström et al. [6] show how features of the body, such as wrinkles, knuckles or moles can be used for interaction. We show that with increasing complexity of the polymerized object, surprising results can be achieved.

We polymerized zippers (approximately 120 mm by 30 mm), made of cotton, with metal teeth. We polymerized longer than usual (~60 minutes) to ensure electrical contact between polymerized cotton and metal teeth. In some cases, the polymerization slightly oxidizes the metal teeth, degrading electrical contact between them. The oxidized layer can be removed using flux and a hot air gun. The oxidation problem can be avoided by using stainless steel zippers.

The resulting zipper can be used similar to a linear potentiometer: The resistance measured from the left side of the zipper to the right side of the zipper is highest when it is fully zipped (Figure 8c), as the electricity can flow through the metal teeth and lowest when it is unzipped (Figure 8d), as the

---

9-Augmented glove documentation: https://github.com/counterchemists/glove_viz

10-Augmented leggings documentation: https://github.com/counterchemists/leggings
electricity needs to travel through the entire fabric. We created multiple of these zipentiometers, and found resistance values from \( \sim 200 \, k\Omega \) to \( \sim 600 \, k\Omega \) when the zipper was closed and \( \sim 500 \, k\Omega \) to \( \sim 4 \, M\Omega \) when the zipper was unzipped.

On-Body UIs using Kinesio Tape
The ability to augment mechanically complex objects can be used for simplifying rapid prototyping. For example, On-body interfaces and epidermal devices are receiving increasing attention within HCI (e.g.: [45, 21, 28]), but are typically non-trivial to prototype. We show that polymerizing tape can provide a fast way for rapidly creating functional prototypes of on-body interfaces:

Kinesio tape is a readily available soft sticky tape designed to comply to and attach to the skin. It consists of a glue layer attached to a woven fabric. By polymerizing kinesio tapes, we create a simple substrate for creating custom on-body interfaces. The polymerization process functionalizes the fibers of the woven fabric, while not affecting the glue layer. This creates a versatile bio-compatible sensor, that only needs to be cut in the desired shape and applied directly to the body, including (1) motion sensors, (2) touch sensors and (3) position sensors.

(1) Motion Sensor: A strip of \( \sim 300 \, mm \) by \( 50 \, mm \) was polymerized according to the instructions provided in this paper. If a part of this strip is placed on a body joint, the resistance changes as the tape is stretched or compressed by motion (Figure 8e – the example used in the Video is documented on GitHub\(^\text{11}\).

(2) Touch Sensor: By placing grounded pads on a body part, and connecting a finger to a microcontroller input with a pull-up resistor, one can detect touch. Indeed, when the finger touches the grounded pad, the input is pulled low. Multiple touch-sensors can be used by pulling the pads low sequentially, and disconnecting them the rest of the time by setting pins to high impedance.

(3) Position sensor: Finally, a linear touch sensor can be made by cutting a strip of tape, and connecting one side of it to GND (Figure 8f, black cable) and the other to V+ (red cable). If the finger touching it is connected to an analog input, the voltage measured at the finger will be highest when the finger is close to V+ and lowest when it is close to GND. In the case of Figure 8f, the finger is about one third of the way towards V+ from GND – the voltage measured at the finger will therefore also be about on third of V+.

DISCUSSION
This project had a very simple origin: we wanted to find a reliable source for creating the piezoresistive central layer for a pressure sensor matrix. After speaking with manufacturers, we understood that we depended on specialized companies that produce a few samples made of specific textiles. As we could not produce such a material ourselves, we were forced to adapt our designs to what was available – we never had the opportunity to experiment with modifying the properties of the piezoresistive material ourselves. Treating the piezoresistive textiles as something immutable prevents us from fully exploring the design space. These considerations made it clear that we need an accessible process, which not only makes it possible to copy and reproduce technologies based on piezoresistivity, but also provides a platform for playful exploration. The example applications presented in this paper demonstrate that we achieved this goal.

Sensor Behavior
All piezoresistive fabric produced using polymerization displayed pressure sensitive behavior. However, not all samples were sensitive to stretching. We assume this is caused by the structure of the textiles: For the polymerized stretch thread (See Figure 3, left), the fibers actually stretch, when the thread is stretched. With many fabrics, this is not the case. For example, in Figure 9, bottom, we see the same textile stretched (Figure 9d) and relaxed (Figure 9c). Most of the strain is absorbed by the structure of the fabric. Rather than extending individual fibers, the change in area is caused by a restructuring of individual fibers. As the fibers themselves are not stretched, this does not cause a change in resistance. While this is not the case for all textiles, it might be considered a drawback of polymerization – if the fabric were coated, it would demonstrate a change in resistance.

In practice this was a non-issue, as – if the fabric is stretched over a joint – pressure is also exerted on the fabric, which leads to a change in resistance. In fact, it might even provide a higher level of control over fabrics which are effected both by extension and pressure.

Advanced Materials
Paying detailed attention to the specific structure and composition of a fabric does not only help understanding its sensing properties, but might also be key for creating even more advanced fabric circuitry. If one polymerizes a hybrid fabric consisting of more than one material, the polymer does not interact with the fabric as a whole, but rather with its individual fibers, which reacts differently to the polymerization process. Figure 9a and 9b shows hybrid textiles consisting of fibers which were polymerized (black) and fibers which were not polymerized (white/yellow). A way of quantifying how well a fiber will polymerize might be by the degree it is able to soak up fluids [1].

Considering the micro-structure of polymerizing fabric might open up new opportunities, such as the design of sensors which require combining materials with varying electrical properties, such as dielectrics, conductors and semi-conductors. One could implement piezoresistive traces in the \( \mu m \) range, or designs which combine different electrical properties, such as dielectrics, conductors and semi-conductors on a micro-scale. This might enable new sensing approaches, for example, measuring interstitial capacitance between fibers, or other mems-inspired designs.

Scaling
Polymerization can be used both for making fine-detailed functionalization, as well as functionalizing large batches of material. As described, we can polymerize single fibers:

\(^\text{11}\)Wrist sensor documentation: https://github.com/counterchemists/perlin/
We calculated the average absolute deviation from the mean as reported in the well the oxidizing agent reaches all areas of the textile. If (254 nm). We measured conductivity before exposure and after 1, 2 & 3 hours. The measured conductivity decreased by 25% after initial exposure to UV, and remained stable.

There are also complex interactions between high temperature, adverse effect on longevity of the fabric. A detailed investigation of this is presented by Banaszczyk et al. [3].

Having pointed out these limitations, we wish to stress that in general, polypyrrole is considered to have “good stability in ambient conditions” [13] – which we could confirm: as highlighted in Table 1, on average the resistance of samples stayed stable over a four month period.

Most of the methods presented in the paper rely on manual traditional methods, which might lack precision in demanding scenarios. Thin materials could in the future be applied with resist using a solid-ink printer, similar to those used for DIY fabrication of flexible circuits [43]. However, we do not believe that this would work well for thicker materials. Commercial wax 3D printers[12] may provide inspiration for a more consistent process. Looking even further towards the future, custom weaving or knitting devices coupled with custom threads with variable properties will provide even more control on the material level.

CONCLUSION

PolySense is an accessible method for enhancing textiles and other fibrous materials with custom piezoresistive properties. We have shown that in-situ polymerization enables seamless integration at the material level, preserving the textile mechanical properties and using only readily available tools. To further support customisation by the designer, we presented methods for patterning, as needed to create circuits and sensors, and demonstrated how to combine areas of varying conductivity in one material. The performance of sensors created using our method are comparable to off-the-shelf piezoresistive textiles.

We are sharing this method, to support the creation of exciting new interactive prototypes. We are looking forward to learning what PolySense will enable others to do.
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